

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,560	04/26/2001	David Mallis	09432/168002	9436	
OSHA & MAY L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET HOUSTON, TX 77010			EXAM	EXAMINER	
		TUGBANG, ANTHONY D			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	3729	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/843,560	MALLIS, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	n places the application in y filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CF fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amo fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the pe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal or	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
<ul><li>(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);</li></ul>	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a se canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been consideration in condition for allowance because: <u>See Attachment</u> .	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided belo	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: None.	
Claim(s) objected to: None.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22 and 24</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	101
	111/4/4
·	A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3729

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## **Attachment to Advisory Action**

The examiner appreciates the filing of the affidavit by the applicant. However, upon careful review and consideration by the examiner, the affidavit does not overcome the prior art. In the applicant's affidavit, the applicant believes that the structure of Timme, noting Figure 4, does not disclose a "shoulder" because the shoulder does not prevent relative axial movement between the members of the threaded connection.

The examiner most respectfully disagrees for at least two reasons. First, Timme refers to the structure of Figure 4 as a "bench mark shoulder" (see col. 1, lines 46+), which is well known in the art of pipe connections. The examiner also refers to Timme's Figure 4 and the applicant's Figure 3. The comparison between these two Figures is that these structures are nearly identical so from this standpoint, Timme would inherently prevent relative axial movement between the members of the threaded connection in the same manner as the applicant. Secondly, the mere presence of the structural material of the shoulder (region under element 13 in Fig. 4) would provide at least some force against the other threaded member to prevent an axial movement, say for example in Fig. 4, a force in the horizontal direction pointing to the right.

Therefore, the examiner maintains the rejections with respect to Timme.